



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,710	01/28/2002	Mark E. Holzbach	M-8621-1D US	7765
33031	7590	03/16/2004	EXAMINER	
CAMPBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP			CURTIS, CRAIG	
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD.			ART UNIT	
BLDG. 4, SUITE 201			PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78759			2872	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/058,710

Applicant(s)

HOLZBACH ET AL.

Examiner

Craig Curtis

Art Unit

2872

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

I. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halle et al.'s "Fast Computer Graphics for Rendering Full Parallax Spatial Displays" in view of Priem (5,003,497).

Halle et al. disclose the invention as claimed: A computer-implemented method of rendering data for producing a full parallax autostereoscopic display of a digital scene (See title of article), comprising the steps of (See pp. 1-7):

defining an image plane that passes through at least a portion of said scene (Fig. 1);

dividing the image plane into a plurality of contiguous image elements (Id.);

simulating two camera frustra on opposing sides of said image plane, each camera frustrum having an associated eyepoint (implicit; see Fig. 4));

defining a near clipping plane of said frustra on said image plane (See Fig. 5)

Art Unit: 2872

generating, for each of said elements, image data for each of said cameras; and
combining said image data, thereby rendering said scene; wherein the method is performed to produce holograms, and wherein said generating step provides holographic image data (see conclusion: lines 4 and 5); wherein said positioning step provides a single non-clipping plane distance for all of said elements, wherein said positioning step provides near clipping plane distances within a predetermined range (see Section 4, p. 109); wherein the method is performed to produce a hologram, and further comprising the step of rendering image data for said degenerate elements by special compositing of images from said camera frustra (see Section 6, pg. 110); Halle further teaches repositioning said camera frustra in a direction parallel to said image plane (see above); wherein said scene is comprised of polygons, and said determining step compares z vertices of said polygons with a z distance of said clipping plane (see Table 1; §§ 4, 5); said method further comprising the step of evaluating said image data for depth resolution and compensating said image data based on said evaluating step (see § 3)--EXCEPT FOR explicit teachings of the following additionally recited limitations:

for each image element, determining a distance between said eyepoint and said near clipping plane that would avoid near clipping of said scene, thereby determining a set of near clipping plane distances; and

positioning said camera frustra along said z axis in accordance with one or more of said near clipping plane distances.

Art Unit: 2872


Priem, however, explicitly discloses a clip checking method of, for each image element, determining a distance between said eyepoint and said near clipping plane that would avoid near clipping of a scene, thereby determining a set of near clipping plane distances. See Abstract & Figs. 1-10; also see col. 8, ll. 28-67 to col. 10, ll. 1-42. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Halle et al. such that the clip checking method explicitly disclosed by Priem be implemented, including positioning said camera frustra along said z axis in accordance with one or more of said near clipping plane distances, for at least the purpose of achieving a desired autostereoscopic display or print of a digital scene.

Art Unit: 2872

Contact Information

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig Curtis, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2311. The centralized facsimile phone number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature regarding the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



Audrey Chang
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Craig H. Curtis
Group Art Unit
4 March 2004